

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,427	10/645,427 08/21/2003		Richard Martin Jacobson	A01195A	8067
21898	7590 09/23/2005			EXAMINER	
ROHM AN		COMPANY	CLARDY, S		
		E MALL WEST	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADEL	PHIA, PA	19106-2399	1617		

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		5					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/645,427	JACOBSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	S. Mark Clardy	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
Period for Reply		·					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	·						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	05 April 2004						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 April 2004</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.	· · · ———						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection t	•	-					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	roigir priority arraot oo ototot.	3 (=, (=, 0. (+,					
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	·					
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the							
application from the International B							
* See the attached detailed Office action for	•	received.					
•	·						
Attachment(s)							
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	· */	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2004.</li> </ol>	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/645,427

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 1-8 are pending in this application which claims priority to international application PCT/US02/08003, filed February 25, 2002.

Applicants' claims are drawn to methods of inhibiting an ethylene response in plants by applying a cyclopropene compound of the formula:

$$\begin{array}{c}
R^3 R^4 \\
\stackrel{\checkmark}{\underline{\Delta}} \\
/ \\
R^1 R^2
\end{array}$$

Extensive substitutions in the R groups are possible; at least one is other than hydrogen.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of Daly et al (US 6,017,849) and Sisler (US 6,365,549).

Daly et al teach substituted cyclopropene compounds which are useful for inhibiting the ethylene response in plants (abstract) wherein up to four different R groups may be substituted on the cyclopropene ring; the R groups may be further substituted (columns 5-6).

Sisler similarly teaches that extensive substitution on the cyclopropene core yields useful compounds for blocking the ethylene response in plants (columns 2-3).

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine these references in order to identify cyclopropene compounds which are useful for inhibiting plant ethylene responses.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,427 Page 3

Art Unit: 1617

Thus it would have been *prima facie* obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to have used applicants' cycopropene compounds for inhibiting the ethylene response in plants because substituted cyclopropene compounds were known in the art to possess ethylene response inhibiting activity. Given the extensive variation taught in the art, it would have been prima facie obvious to the ordinary artisan to further extend the scope of substitutions

No unobvious or unexpected results are noted; no claim is allowed.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Mark Clardy whose telephone number is 571-272-0611. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Mark Clardy

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1617